4D Vessel-Encoded pCASL Angiography in a Five-Minute Scan

S. Sophie Schauman (sophie.schauman@dtc.ox.ac.uk), Mark Chiew, Thomas W. Okell Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, FMRIB, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford

Introduction

• Vessel encoded VE-pCASL¹ angiography² provides potentially crucial information for a range of cerebrovascular diseases in a non-invasive way VE (3 vessels) nonVE • VE-pCASL is as SNR efficient as conventional pCASL, which is an advantage over single-artery selective methods readout encode1 readout tag control readout encode 2 readout • Long scan times because of: encode 3 readout • additional encoding steps (N+1 encodings for N vessels) compared to conventional (nonVE) angiography encode 4 readout • many repeats needed to fill k-space at sufficient spatial (~1mm isotropic) and temporal (~ 200ms) resolution in 3D

Pre-saturation

- Can be accelerated by:
 - acquiring with trajectory that produces more benign undersampling artefacts (e.g. radial)³
 - reconstructing in a compressed sensing framework⁴, exploiting the images' spatial sparsity VE is especially well suited for this due to higher relative sparsity than nonVE angiography



Methods

Acquisition:

- Proof-of-principle study on one healthy volunteer, acquired on 3T Siemens Verio
- Three vessels (right and left internal carotid arteries (RICA, LICA) + basilar artery (BA)) were labelled with a Hadamard encoding scheme
- Scan parameters:
- Trajectory: 3D golden angle radial spokes⁴
- TE/TR = 5.9/11.6ms Flip angle = 7°
- Labelling duration: 1.0s
- Readout time: 1.3s (108 readout spokes acquired per ASL preparation)
- Total scan time: 5:16min (label + readout 33 times for each encoding) Acceleration factor, R = 97

Reconstruction:

- Compressed sensing with spatial sparsity enforced + coil sensitivity estimation Combining vessel decoding with image reconstruction
- Cost function:
- $cost = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x} \mathbf{d}|_2^2 + \lambda |\mathbf{x}|_1$
- $\mathbf{E} = acquisition operator, \mathbf{x} = image, \mathbf{d} = data, \lambda = regularisation weighting$
- Reconstruction algorithm: FISTA⁵
- Reconstruction parameters:
 - Spatial resolution: 1.1mm isotropic
 - Temporal resolution: 210ms (6 frames)









Figure 1: Above: Simple re-gridding reconstruction (temporal average MIP). Below: CS Reconstruction. The red arrows indicate the labelling plane artifact.



Results

1.0 seconds

- Less blurring and a clear increase in SNR for the CS reconstructed images compared with reconstruction using simple re-gridding and coil sensitivities only (Figure 1)
 - FWHM of an example vessel (Figure 2) was 1.93 for CS and 5.77 for re-gridding
 - 19% increase in the apparent SNR (although rigorous assessment of SNR with this type of CS reconstruction requires further work)
- Small and faint vessels visible even at later frames (Figure 3)

Discussion and Conclusions

- Promising as proof-of-principle but not yet optimized
- Will need to verify these results in a more quantitative way across a larger number of subjects
- Currently, offline reconstruction times are slow due to the large memory burden (>30 GB), but optimisations for processing speed and memory efficiency are being investigated
- Temporal smoothness can also be leveraged as additional regularizer
- Variable flip angle acquisition⁵ or time-encoded ASL⁷ might preserve signal in later frames more
- Potential clinical use in evaluating the relative blood supply from different arteries in steno-occlusive disease and in planning embolization therapy for arteriovenous malformations





Figure 2: Example of increased SNR and lowered blurring for CS compared with re-gridding

Figure 3: Temporal dynamics of the outflow of the labeled bolus. Sharp delineation of faint vessels is apparent even in later frames, despite the lower SNR. Effective post-labelling delays are shown beneath each frame.

1. Wong E.C., 2007. Vessel-encoded arterial spin-labeling using pseudocontinuous tagging. 2. Okell T.W., et al., 2010. Vessel-encoded dynamic magnetic resonance angiography using arterial spin labelling 3. Wu H., et al., 2013. Noncontrast-enhanced three-dimensional (3D) intracranial MR angiography using

pseudocontinuous arterial spin labeling and accelerated 3D radial acquisition 4. Zhou Z., et al., 2018. Accelerated noncontrast-enhanced 4-dimensional intracranial MR angiography using golden-angle stack-of-stars trajectory and compressed sensing with magnitude subtraction. 5. Okell T.W., 2018. Combined angiography and perfusion using radial imaging and arterial spin labelling.

6. Beck A., et al., 2009, A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems. 7. Günther M., 2007, Highly efficient accelerated acquisition of perfusion inflow series by cycled arterial spin labeling. In Proceedings of the 16th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Berlin, Germany





