Imaging with less data by tailoring the

acquisition to the reconstruction and

including prior information

Precision reconstruction for vessel-encoded ASL angiography.

Sophie Schauman, Thomas W. Okell, Mark Chiew

INTRO

- Traditional image reconstruction (Fourier transform) is limited by the Nyquist Limit.
- Modern methods like Parallel Imaging^{1,2} (PI) and Compressed Sensing^{3,4} (CS) allows for breaking the Nyquist limit **BUT** are generally designed as recipes for diverse types of MR data.
- Vessel-Encoded Arterial Spin Labelling (VE-ASL)⁵ angiography is a promising technique for studying vessel selective blood flow in the brain, but it is a time consuming technique.
- To improve image quality and reduce imaging time of VE-ASL angiography, the acquisition and reconstruction methods can and should be jointly optimised.







METHODS

- Simulations on numerical phantom.
- 3 blood + 1 static tissue images.
- 2D golden angle radial acquisition^{6,7}.
- 8 orthogonal receive coils
- **Optimised acquisition** for improved incoherence – vary spoke trajectories between encodings
- **Optimised reconstruction** taking into account likely spatial distribution of signal.

DISCUSSION

- Spatial priors were generated from ground truth (but can be generated from low-resolution or temporal average image).
- Strong static tissue signal can disrupt reconstruction with varying spokes (can be mitigated with background suppression or sparsifying the static tissue).
- The observations made in these simulations will need to be validated in vivo.

RESULTS

- High correlation coefficients (r) between reconstructions and ground truth at high acceleration factors (R = 18.8, 37.8, 75.5, 151) when acquisition and reconstruction is optimised.
- Both optimising the acquisition and the reconstruction protocol improves image quality, but varying the spokes has a larger positive effect.



References:

[1] Pruessmann KP, et al. Magn. Reson. Med. 42: 952-962 (1999). [2] Griswold MA, et al. Magn. Reson. Med. 47: 1202-1210 (2002). [3] Donoho DL. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory. 52: 1289-1306 (2006). [4] Lustig M, et al. Magn. Reson. Med. 58: 1182-1195 (2007). [5] Wong EC. Magn. Reson. Med. 58: 1086-1091 (2007). [6] Okell TW. Magn. Reson. Med. 81: 182-194 (2019). [7] Schauman SS, et al. BioRXiv. 673475 (2019).









Engineering and Physical Sciences **Research Council**

Take a picture to get a PDF copy

.............



UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD



